

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,551	09/27/2001	Hiroki Hachiyama	60188-099	8913
7590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER		
Jack Q. Lever, Jr.			THOMPSON, JAMES A	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3096			2624	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·			<u> </u>
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/963,551	HACHIYAMA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		James A. Thompson	2624	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Al</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	• •	S
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)☐ 5)☐ 6)⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 September 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abeyar ion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	•
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 5, lines 2-7, filed 05
 August 2005, with respect to the rejections of claim 1 under 35
 USC §112, 2nd paragraph and 35 USC §102(b) have been fully
 considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claim 1 under
 35 USC §112, 2nd paragraph and 35 USC §102(b) listed in items 2-5
 of the previous office action, dated 26 April 2005, have been
 withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 05 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding page 5, line 8 to page 6, line 2: Applicant alleges that Kuchta (US Patent 5,164,831) does not teach "a series of images which are captured consecutively by the imager is transferred from the image memory to the storage medium while the series of images is presented by the display", as presently recited in claim 2, and similarly recited in now canceled claim 1. Examiner responds that, in attempting to support said allegation, Applicant relies on the teachings of Kuchta that discuss the thumbnail images, and the series of captured images themselves. Examiner has shown that Kuchta teaches the above limitation on lines 4-8 of the arguments regarding claim 1, which is located on page 4 of said previous office action. The portions Examiner cites (column 3, lines 56-58; and column 4, lines 5-8 and lines 47-50 of Kuchta) specifically state:

"The digital signals are applied to an image buffer 18, which is a random access memory (RAM) with storage capacity for a plurality of digital still images." [column 3, lines 56-58 of Kuchta]

"The processor 22 applies a compression algorithm to the digital image signals, and sends the compressed signals to a removable memory card 24 via a connector 26." [column 4, lines 5-8 of Kuchta]

"For this reason, the image buffer 18 shown in FIG. 1 provides for storage of a plurality of images, in effect allowing a series of images to 'stack up' at video rates." [column 4, lines 47-50 of Kuchta]

Thus, the sequence of captured images is stored in random access memory and displays in sequence. Therefore, the series of image which are captured consecutively by the imager are transferred from the image memory (namely, the memory of the image capturing device) to the storage medium (namely, the random access memory) while the series of image is presented by the display (stored in random access memory while the display is display the portion of the sequence of images that has queued up in the memory to be displayed). Thus, Kuchta fully teaches the limitations recited in the claims. Applicant is respectfully reminded that, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Additionally, the series of captured images are later stored in compressed format in the same order (figure 2B and column 4, lines 58-65 of Kuchta) while being displayed by the display (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kuchta).

Regarding page 6, line 3 to page 7, line 9: Applicant alleges that the image data taught by Kuchta does not correspond to a series of images captured consecutively. Capturing images consecutively simply means that one image is captured after another, which by itself is quite trivial in the art. Consecutive image capture does not require a continuous shooting

camera. Applicant's description of the specific manner of sequential image capture taught in Applicant's specification is not recited in the claims. Again, Applicant is respectfully reminded that, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 5 recites that "the image memory outputs the compressed image data corresponding to the series of images to both of the compressor/expander and the interface" [emphasis added]. This recitation is not enabled by the disclosure for two main reasons. Firstly, the interface is the device by which the image memory interacts with the rest of the image processor. The memory itself only stores the data in the locations accessed by the interface. The memory is essentially passive and is acted upon by said interface. Thus, the image memory itself

Art Unit: 2624

cannot output anything. It is the interface which outputs the image data. In a technical recitation, the language "the memory outputs" is sometimes used, but it is understood that the interface of the memory is doing the actual outputting and saying that the memory outputs is simply a shorthand way of saying what is understood. However, in claim 5 the distinction between the interface and the memory is clearly brought out.

Secondly, Applicant's disclosure does not provide that the memory outputs the image data to both the compressor/expander and the interface. In fact, in figure 1 of the present application, it is clearly shown that the image data present in the image memory is first output through the memory interface and then provided to the compressor/expander. Thus, claim 5 is not enabled by Applicant's disclosure.

Therefore, for the purposes of examining the claims over the prior art, Examiner will interpret the language of claim 5 to mean that the compressed image data in the image memory is output through the interface to the compressor/expander, as shown in figure 1 of the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 2-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuchta (US Patent 5,164,831).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 2: Kuchta discloses an image processor (figures 1A-1B of Kuchta) comprising an imager (figure 1A(10) of Kuchta) for capturing an image of an object (column 3, lines 32-34 and lines 38-42 of Kuchta) and outputting image data representing the image captured (column 3, lines 47-55 of Kuchta); a compressor/expander (figure 1B("Image Compression") of Kuchta) which receives and compresses the image data and then outputs the compressed image data (column 4, lines 1-5 and lines 53-55 of Kuchta) or which receives and expands the compressed image data and then outputs the expanded image data (column 7, lines 20-24 of Kuchta); an image memory (figure 1A(24) of Kuchta) for storing the compressed image data thereon (column 4, lines 5-8 and lines 53-58 of Kuchta); a display memory (figure 1A(18) of Kuchta) for storing the expanded image data thereon (column 4, lines 34-38 and lines 47-50 of Kuchta); a display (figure 1A(30) of Kuchta) for presenting thereon the expanded image data that has been once stored on the display memory (column 4, lines 65-68 and column 7, lines 30-33 of Kuchta); and an interface (figure 1A(26) of Kuchta) for recording the compressed image data, which has once been stored on the image memory, on a storage medium (column 3, lines 56-58 of Kuchta), wherein while image data corresponding to a series of images which are captured consecutively by the imager is transferred from the image memory to the storage medium (figure 1(18) and column 4, lines 47-50 of Kuchta) while the series of images is presented on the display (column 4, lines 53-58 and lines 66-68 The digital images are allowed to "stack up" while of Kuchta). being stored in the display memory in the order in which the images are captured (figure 1A("Frame 1,2,3"); column 4, lines 34-38 and lines 47-50 of Kuchta) and are later stored in

compressed format in the same order (figure 2B and column 4, lines 58-65 of Kuchta) while being displayed by the display (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kuchta).

Regarding claim 3: Kuchta discloses that the compressor/ expander produces a reduced-size image for each said image captured (column 4, lines 53-55 of Kuchta) and compresses the reduced-size image to obtain and output the compressed image data (column 4, lines 56-58 and column 5, lines 29-31 of Kuchta), and that the compressor/expander expands the compressed image data (column 7, lines 30-34 of Kuchta), representing the series of images (column 4, lines 47-50 of Kuchta), and then outputs the expanded image data to the display memory so that the reduced-size versions of the series of images can be added one by one on the same display (column 4, line 65 to column 5, line 6 of Kuchta) in the order in which the images have been captured (figure 2B; column 6, line 27-31; and column 7, lines 47-52 of Kuchta) so as to present a plurality of images on the display (column 7, lines 47-52 of Kuchta). Since the reducedresolution ("thumbnail") image is taken from the DCT before being saved with the compressed high-resolution image data (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kuchta), the reduced-resolution data is compressed.

Regarding claim 4: Kuchta discloses that the compressor/
expander expands the compressed image data (column 7, lines 3034 of Kuchta), representing each of the series of images which
is being transferred to the storage medium (figure 2B and column
4, lines 47-50 of Kuchta), and then outputs the expanded image
data to the display memory so that each said image being
transferred can be presented on the display (column 7, lines 4752 of Kuchta).

Application/Control Number: 09/963,551

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 5: Kuchta discloses that the image memory outputs the compressed image data corresponding to the series of images to both of the compressor/expander and the interface (column 7, lines 8-13 of Kuchta). Applicant is respectfully reminded of the interpretation of the language of claim 5 presented above in item 4.

Kuchta further discloses that the compressor/expander expands the compressed image data from the image memory (column 7, lines 8-13 of Kuchta) and outputs the expanded image data to the display memory so that the series of image is presented on the display (column 4, lines 65-68 and column 7, lines 30-33 of Kuchta) sequentially in an order in which the images have been captured (column 4, lines 34-38 and lines 47-50 of Kuchta); and that the interface records the compressed image data on the storage medium (figure 2B and column 4, lines 58-65 of Kuchta) while the series of images is presented by the display (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kuchta).

Regarding claim 6: Kuchta discloses that the display presents the series of images while the compressed image data corresponding to the series of images is stored on the storage medium (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kuchta).

Regarding claim 8: Kuchta discloses successively receiving image data corresponding to a series of images captured consecutively by an imager (column 4, lines 47-50 of Kuchta); successively compressing the received image data as compressed image data by a compressor/expander (column 4, lines 1-5 of Kuchta); temporarily storing the compressed image data on an image memory (column 4, lines 5-8 and lines 53-58 of Kuchta); successively expanding the compressed image data by the compressor/expander (column 7, lines 20-24 of Kuchta);

Art Unit: 2624

successively storing the image data expanded by the compressor/expander on a display memory (column 4, lines 34-38 and lines 47-50 of Kuchta); and storing the compressed image data successively on a storage medium while the series of images is presented on a display based on the image data stored on the display memory (column 5, lines 29-35 of Kuchta).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuchta (US Patent 5,164,831) in view of Anderson (US Patent 6,020,920).

Regarding claims 7 and 9: Kuchta does not disclose expressly that the image memory and display memory are implemented as a single memory.

Anderson discloses DRAM memory (figure 3(346) of Anderson) which is a contiguous block of memory used for various storing functions (column 4, lines 50-53 of Anderson) including image data for display (column 4, lines 52-55 of Anderson).

Kuchta and Anderson are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely the control of digital image data processing, display and storage, including the use and display of thumbnail image data. At the time of the invention,

Art Unit: 2624

it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a single dynamic memory for both storing image data and displaying image data, as taught by Anderson. Thus, the image memory and display memory taught by Kuchta are implemented as a single memory, as taught by Anderson. The suggestion for doing so would have been that DRAM is contiguous, dynamically addressable, and selectively allocatable (column 4, lines 50-55 of Anderson). Thus, DRAM can serve all of the memory usage needs in an image data display system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Anderson with Kuchta to obtain the invention as specified in claims 7 and 9.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Eric C. Anderson, US Patent 5,933,137, 03 August 1999.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

Art Unit: 2624

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-7441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

18 September 2005

James A. Thompson Examiner Art Unit 2624

Mille

THOMAS D TESMENT LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER